

PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: Renville County Jail

Facility Type: Prison / Jail

Date Interim Report Submitted: NA

Date Final Report Submitted: 09/11/2024

Auditor Certification

| | |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Auditor Full Name as Signed: Darnel Carlson | Date of Signature: 09/11/2024 |

AUDITOR INFORMATION

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Auditor name: | Carlson, Darnel |
| Email: | dmcarlson16@gmail.com |
| Start Date of On-Site Audit: | 11/17/2022 |
| End Date of On-Site Audit: | 11/18/2022 |

FACILITY INFORMATION

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Facility name: | Renville County Jail |
| Facility physical address: | 104 South 4th Street, Olivia, Minnesota - 56277 |
| Facility mailing address: | 105 5th Street South, Olivia, Minnesota - 56277 |

Primary Contact

| | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Name: | Ned Galen Wohlman |
| Email Address: | nedw@renvillecountymn.com |
| Telephone Number: | 3205233778 |

| Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director | |
|---|---------------------------------|
| Name: | Ned Wohlman, Jail Administrator |
| Email Address: | nedw@renvillecountymn.com |
| Telephone Number: | 320-523-3778 |

| Facility PREA Compliance Manager | |
|---|--|
| Name: | |
| Email Address: | |
| Telephone Number: | |

| Facility Health Service Administrator On-site | |
|--|--|
| Name: | |
| Email Address: | |
| Telephone Number: | |

| Facility Characteristics | |
|--|------------------------|
| Designed facility capacity: | 72 |
| Current population of facility: | 56 |
| Average daily population for the past 12 months: | 51 |
| Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months? | No |
| Which population(s) does the facility hold? | Both females and males |

| | |
|--|-------|
| Age range of population: | 18-79 |
| Facility security levels/inmate custody levels: | 1-3 |
| Does the facility hold youthful inmates? | No |
| Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with inmates: | 16 |
| Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 8 |
| Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 7 |

AGENCY INFORMATION

| | |
|--|--|
| Name of agency: | Renville County Sheriff's Office |
| Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable): | |
| Physical Address: | 105 5th Street, Suite 210, Olivia, Minnesota - 56277 |
| Mailing Address: | |
| Telephone number: | 320-523-3778 |

Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Name: | |
| Email Address: | |
| Telephone Number: | |

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information

| | | | |
|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Name: | Ned Wohlman | Email Address: | nedw@renvillecountymn.com |
|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|

Facility AUDIT FINDINGS

Summary of Audit Findings

The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.

Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.

Number of standards exceeded:

0

Number of standards met:

45

Number of standards not met:

0

POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION

GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION

On-site Audit Dates

| | |
|---|------------|
| 1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit: | 2022-11-17 |
| 2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit: | 2022-11-18 |

Outreach

| | |
|---|--|
| 10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No |
| a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim advocates with whom you communicated: | Safe Avenues - Willmar, Minnesota |

AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION

| | |
|--|--|
| 14. Designated facility capacity: | 0 |
| 15. Average daily population for the past 12 months: | 51 |
| 16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units: | 8 |
| 17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility) |

Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

| | |
|--|----|
| 36. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit: | 49 |
| 37. Enter the total number of youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 |
| 38. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 |
| 39. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 |
| 40. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 |
| 41. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 |
| 42. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 1 |

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| <p>43. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p> | <p>0</p> |
| <p>44. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p> | <p>0</p> |
| <p>45. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p> | <p>0</p> |
| <p>46. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p> | <p>0</p> |
| <p>47. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p> | <p>0</p> |
| <p>48. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations):</p> | <p>No text provided.</p> |
| <p>Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit</p> | |
| <p>49. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p> | <p>18</p> |

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>50. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:</p> | <p>7</p> |
| <p>51. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:</p> | <p>8</p> |
| <p>52. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</p> | <p>No text provided.</p> |
| <p>INTERVIEWS</p> | |
| <p>Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</p> | |
| <p>Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</p> | |
| <p>53. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:</p> | <p>9</p> |
| <p>54. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply)</p> | <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Race <input type="checkbox"/> Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of time in the facility <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing assignment <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> None </p> |

| | |
|---|---|
| 55. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse? | I selected at least one confined person from each occupied housing unit based on gender, age, race, and length of stay. |
| 56. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No |
| 57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation): | Facility staff cooperated, and all the selected confined persons agreed to be interviewed |
| Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews | |
| 58. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed: | 1 |
| <p>As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".</p> | |
| 59. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees using the "Youthful Inmates" protocol: | 0 |

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/detainees in this category:</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p> |
| <p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/detainees).</p> | <p>The PAQ identified that one youthful, confined person had been in custody for twelve months. The jail management system and dates of birth were reviewed to verify there weren't any youthful confined persons in the facility during the onsite audit.</p> |
| <p>60. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p> | <p>0</p> |
| <p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p> |
| <p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p> | <p>The PAQ did not identify any confined persons who met the criteria under the targeted interview categories as physically disabled. Documents reviewed at the facility, observations onsite, and conversations with other confined persons and staff did not disclose any information about physically disabled confined persons being housed there. I spoke with the Jail Administrator to verify there weren't any physically disabled clients in the facility.</p> |

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>61. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p> | <p>0</p> |
| <p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p> |
| <p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p> | <p>The PAQ did not identify any confined persons who met the criteria under the targeted interview categories with a cognitive or functional disability. Documents reviewed at the facility, observations onsite, and conversations with other confined persons and staff did not disclose any information about cognitively or functionally disabled confined persons being housed there. I spoke with the Jail Administrator to verify there weren't any cognitively or functionally disabled confined persons in the facility.</p> |
| <p>62. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p> | <p>0</p> |
| <p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p> | <p>The PAQ did not identify any confined persons who met the criteria under the targeted interview categories who are Blind or have low vision. Documents reviewed at the facility, observations onsite, and conversations with other confined persons and staff did not disclose any information about Blind or low-vision confined persons being housed there. I spoke with the Jail Administrator to verify there weren't any Blind or low-vision confined persons in the facility.</p> |
| <p>63. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p> | <p>0</p> |
| <p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p> |
| <p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p> | <p>The PAQ did not identify confined persons who met the criteria under the targeted interview categories as Deaf or hard of hearing. Documents reviewed at the facility, observations onsite, and conversations with other confined persons and staff did not disclose any information about Deaf or hard-of-hearing confined persons being housed there. I spoke with the Jail Administrator to verify there weren't any Deaf or hard-of-hearing confined persons in the facility.</p> |
| <p>64. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</p> | <p>1</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>65. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</p> | <p>0</p> |
| <p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p> |
| <p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p> | <p>The PAQ did not identify any confined persons who met the criteria under the targeted interview categories who identified as lesbian, gay, or bisexual. Documents reviewed at the facility, observations onsite, and conversations with other confined persons and staff did not disclose any information about confined persons who identified as lesbian, gay, or bisexual being housed there. I spoke with the Jail Administrator to verify that there weren't any confined persons identified as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility.</p> |
| <p>66. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</p> | <p>0</p> |
| <p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p> | <p>The PAQ did not identify any confined persons who met the criteria under the targeted interview categories who identified as transgender or intersex. Documents reviewed at the facility, observations onsite, and conversations with other confined persons and staff did not disclose any information about confined persons who identified as transgender or intersex being housed there. I spoke with the Jail Administrator to verify that there weren't any confined persons identifying as transgender or intersex in the facility.</p> |
| <p>67. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:</p> | <p>0</p> |
| <p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p> |
| <p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p> | <p>The PAQ identified one confined person who met the criteria under the targeted interview categories who reported sexual abuse. Documents reviewed at the facility and observations onsite showed the person had been released from the facility. I spoke with the Jail Administrator to verify that no clients reported sexual abuse in the facility.</p> |
| <p>68. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:</p> | <p>0</p> |

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p> |
| <p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p> | <p>The PAQ did not identify any confined persons who met the criteria under the targeted interview categories who disclosed prior sexual victimization. Documents reviewed at the facility, observations onsite, interviews with confined persons, and conversations with medical and custody staff did not reveal any confined persons who disclosed prior sexual victimization housed there. I spoke with the Jail Administrator to verify that there were no confined persons who reported prior sexual victimization in the facility.</p> |
| <p>69. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:</p> | <p>0</p> |
| <p>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p> | <p>The PAQ did not identify any confined persons who met the criteria under the targeted interview categories who were placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization. Documents reviewed at the facility, observations onsite, interviews with confined persons, and conversations with staff did not reveal any confined persons who disclosed prior sexual victimization housed there. I spoke with the Jail Administrator to verify that there weren't any confined persons placed in segregated housing for risk of sexual victimization.</p> |
| <p>70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews):</p> | <p>Facility staff were helpful and easy to work with. I was able to meet with confined persons privately without interference from facility staff.</p> |
| <p>Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews</p> | |
| <p>Random Staff Interviews</p> | |
| <p>71. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:</p> | <p>12</p> |
| <p>72. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply)</p> | <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of tenure in the facility</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shift assignment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Work assignment</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Rank (or equivalent)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> None</p> |
| <p>If "Other," describe:</p> | <p>I interviewed one Spanish-speaking officer.</p> |
| <p>73. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?</p> | <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>74. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):</p> | <p>No text provided.</p> |
| <p>Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews</p> | |
| <p>Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.</p> | |
| <p>75. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):</p> | <p>4</p> |
| <p>76. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?</p> | <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> |
| <p>77. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?</p> | <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> |
| <p>78. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?</p> | <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> |
| <p>79. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?</p> | <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)</p> |

80. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)

- Agency contract administrator
- Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment
- Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable)
- Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable)
- Medical staff
- Mental health staff
- Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches
- Administrative (human resources) staff
- Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff
- Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations
- Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations
- Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
- Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation
- Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team
- Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation
- First responders, both security and non-security staff
- Intake staff

| | |
|---|--|
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| 81. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility? | <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No |
| 82. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No |
| a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed: | 2 |
| b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply) | <input type="checkbox"/> Security/detention <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Education/programming <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental <input type="checkbox"/> Food service <input type="checkbox"/> Maintenance/construction <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| 83. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff. | No text provided. |

SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTATION SAMPLING

Site Review

PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting the site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, important information gathered through observations, and any issues identified with facility practices. The information you collect through the site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information.

| | |
|--|--|
| 84. Did you have access to all areas of the facility? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No |
| Was the site review an active, inquiring process that included the following: | |
| 85. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, cross-gender viewing and searches)? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No |
| 86. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No |
| 87. Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No |
| 88. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No |

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| <p>89. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).</p> | <p>No text provided.</p> |
|--|--------------------------|

Documentation Sampling

Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>90. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?</p> | <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p> |
|--|--|

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>91. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).</p> | <p>This auditor was given a temporary login to access the jail management system.</p> |
|---|---|

SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

92. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

| | # of sexual abuse allegations | # of criminal investigations | # of administrative investigations | # of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

93. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

| | # of sexual harassment allegations | # of criminal investigations | # of administrative investigations | # of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for “convicted.”) Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

94. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Referred for Prosecution | Indicted/ Court Case Filed | Convicted/ Adjudicated | Acquitted |
|--------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

95. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Unfounded | Unsubstantiated | Substantiated |
|--------------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

96. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Referred for Prosecution | Indicted/ Court Case Filed | Convicted/ Adjudicated | Acquitted |
|---|---------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

97. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Unfounded | Unsubstantiated | Substantiated |
|---|---------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review

| | |
|--|--|
| 98. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/ sampled: | 0 |
| a. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files: | The facility reported zero allegations of sexual abuse in the past twelve months. This auditor found no evidence that the information the facility provided was inaccurate. Select investigative files were reviewed from 2021 |

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>99. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</p> | <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)</p> |
| <p>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files</p> | |
| <p>100. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p> | <p>1</p> |
| <p>101. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</p> | <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p> |
| <p>102. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</p> | <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p> |
| <p>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files</p> | |
| <p>103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p> | <p>0</p> |
| <p>104. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</p> | <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p> |

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>105. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</p> | <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p> |
| <p>Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review</p> | |
| <p>106. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p> | <p>1</p> |
| <p>107. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</p> | <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)</p> |
| <p>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files</p> | |
| <p>108. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p> | <p>2</p> |
| <p>109. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations?</p> | <p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p> |
| <p>110. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?</p> | <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p> |

| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files | |
|--|--|
| 111. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 1 |
| 112. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations? | <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) |
| 113. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations? | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) |
| 114. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files. | No text provided. |
| SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION | |
| DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff | |
| 115. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly. | <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No |

Non-certified Support Staff

116. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.

Yes

No

AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND COMPENSATION

121. Who paid you to conduct this audit?

The audited facility or its parent agency

My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option)

A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm)

Other

| |
|--|
| Standards |
| Auditor Overall Determination Definitions |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard) • Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period) • Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions) |
| Auditor Discussion Instructions |
| <p>Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.11 | Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>The facility provided a copy of policy #612. A review of the policy verified that the policy included all components outlined in this standard. The ten confined persons interviewed confirmed receiving training on the Agency's zero-tolerance policy and how and to whom to report allegations. The twelve staff interviewed reported fully understanding the Agency's Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) policy and receiving training in preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and harassment.</p> <p>The Jail Administrator is the PREA Coordinator who reports directly to the Sheriff. The Jail Administrator said he has sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee Agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards.</p> <p>Renville County operates one facility.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.12 | Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates |
|---------------|---|

| | |
|--|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | Renville County does not contract with other agencies to house its inmates—Renville County contracts with the Minnesota Department of Corrections and the Bureau of Prisons to house their offenders. |

| | |
|---------------|--|
| 115.13 | Supervision and monitoring |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>The facility reports an average daily population of 48 inmates. On the first day of the onsite audit, there were 49 male inmates in custody. The facility staffing plan is based on 65 inmates, the licensed capacity approved by the Minnesota Department of Corrections Inspections and Enforcement Unit (MNDOC). The facility does not deviate from the staffing plan; part-time correctional officers or voluntary or mandated overtime is used to maintain minimum staffing. The formalized staffing plan includes considerations outlined in paragraph (a) of this standard and approval from the MNDOC outlined in (2911.0900) and policy #248. The Jail Administrator is the PREA Coordinator; he reviews the staffing plan annually as outlined in policy #248 and the MNDOC (2911) rules.</p> <p>Facility policy #240 outlines the requirement that supervisory staff conduct and document unannounced rounds. The Assistant Jail Administrator conducts unannounced rounds; these rounds are documented. The unannounced rounds log and video were reviewed.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.14 | Youthful inmates |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>The facility reports that in the past twelve months, there have been zero juvenile offenders housed in the facility. The Minnesota Department of Corrections has issued a provisional license for the facility to house juvenile offenders for up to twenty-four hours, excluding weekends and holidays. The Jail Administrator said the facility does not house any juvenile offenders. If the court ordered an adjudicated juvenile to be held in jail, the facility would follow policy #512, which outlines the requirements of this standard. The facility is designed to have an adjudicated offender in a housing unit that allows the adjudicated juvenile access to programs, gym, and education.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|--|
| 115.15 | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | <p data-bbox="256 264 544 297">Auditor Discussion</p> <p data-bbox="256 338 1469 416">The facility reports zero cross-gender strip searches or visual body cavity searches of confined persons.</p> <p data-bbox="256 454 1461 824">Facility policy #528 prohibits staff from conducting cross-gender strip or visual body cavity searches except in exigent circumstances or when performed by medical practitioners. The facility reports in the past twelve months, there have been zero pat-down searches of female confined persons conducted by male staff. The twelve staff interviewed confirmed that the general practice of the facility is to conduct same-sex pat-searches. During the onsite audit, there were zero female inmates in custody. Staff confirmed that female inmates would not be restricted from attending programs. Generally, pat searches are not conducted on movement inside the facility.</p> <p data-bbox="256 862 1417 938">Facility policy #528 requires staff to complete an incident report if a cross-gender search is conducted.</p> <p data-bbox="256 976 1473 1301">Facility policy #814 ensures confined persons can shower, change clothing, and perform bodily functions with privacy except in exigent circumstances. Facility policy #814 requires staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering the housing unit with persons of the opposite gender. Confined persons interviewed confirmed they are given privacy. They also confirmed that staff of the opposite gender announce themselves when entering the housing unit. Observations during the onsite audit and conversations with staff confirmed confined persons' privacy is respected, and opposite-sex staff announce themselves before entering.</p> <p data-bbox="256 1339 1414 1496">Facility policy #528 prohibits staff from searching or physically examining a transgender or intersex confined person to determine the person's genital status. The staff interviewed knew about the policy and would not search to determine a person's genital status.</p> <p data-bbox="256 1534 1461 1691">The facility has trained 90 percent of its staff to conduct cross-gender searches. The National Institute of Corrections "Guidance in Cross-Gender and Transgender Pat Searches." The 10 percent that hasn't been trained are newly hired staff who will receive training during their field training program.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.16 | Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>The facility contracts with the Language Line and has bi-lingual staff to accommodate limited English-proficient confined persons. Persons are given texting devices that offer English and Spanish language options. The PREA video on the texting device is available in Spanish. The facility uses a video relay service for deaf and hard of hearing confined persons; the PREA video on the texting device is available with closed captions. A staff member will give a verbal orientation to inmates with intellectual disabilities, blind, or limited reading skills.</p> <p>Facility policy #612 prohibits using inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance to make a report of sexual abuse or harassment. The twelve staff interviewed would not use another confined person to interpret a report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.</p> <p>One confined person who met the criteria of limited English proficiency was successfully interviewed using an interpreter. The person confirmed their understanding of the facility's zero-tolerance policy and how to report any allegations of sexual abuse. The person confirmed there were PREA posters in Spanish and a Spanish handbook, and all the information on the texting device is available in Spanish.</p> |
|--|---|

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.17 | Hiring and promotion decisions |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Facility policy #114 and policy #307 prohibit hiring or promoting staff members or hiring contractors who may have contact with confined persons who have engaged in the prohibited conduct specified in paragraph (a) of this standard. Any incidents of sexual harassment will be considered in determining whether to hire or promote anyone or retain the services of any contractor who may have contact with confined persons. A completed application is required by applicants and staff applying for promotions. The questions outlined in paragraph (a) are asked during the interview.</p> <p>Before assuming their duties, a comprehensive criminal background check is performed on new employees, contractors, and volunteers. A criminal record background check is performed through the Minnesota Bureau of Criminal Apprehension (BCA), which includes local, Federal, State, and predatory offender registers. Reasonable efforts are made during the background process to contact prior institutional employers of potential new hires.</p> <p>Facility policy #612 requires criminal background record checks to be completed every five years on current employees, contractors, and volunteers who may have contact with confined persons. The facility provided a copy of its Computerized Criminal History Log to verify records checks are completed every five years. Staff members are asked on their annual review about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this standard.</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>Facility policy #307 outlines that material omissions or providing false information listed in paragraph (a) of this standard are grounds for termination.</p> <p>Any request for information from an institutional employer about any previous misconduct of a former employee would be shared upon receiving a signed release of information unless prohibited by law.</p> |
|--|---|

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.18 | Upgrades to facilities and technologies |
| | <p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>The Agency has not acquired a new facility or made any substantial expansion or modifications to the existing facility since the last PREA audit.</p> <p>The Agency has upgraded its security system. The system was updated to a digital platform, and additional cameras and audio were added.</p> <p>The Sheriff and Jail Administrator said safety and security are priorities, including PREA considerations when planning upgrades.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|--|
| 115.21 | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations |
| | <p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>The Renville County Sheriff's Office uses trained, licensed investigators to conduct administrative and criminal investigations of sexual abuse and harassment allegations. The investigator uses the uniform evidence protocol outlined in the Agency's sexual abuse policy to maximize the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence. In the State of Minnesota, members of law enforcement agencies do not interview juveniles or vulnerable adults; these individuals are interviewed by professionals specially trained in specialized interview techniques. The jail staff interviewed confirmed they would not be responsible for collecting any evidence. They would be responsible for securing the scene until an investigator arrives.</p> <p>Forensic medical examinations are conducted in the emergency department at the Olivia Hospital in Olivia, Minnesota https://www.healthpartners.com/care/hospitals/olivia/. The Olivia Hospital has a SANE program. A trained forensic nurse is available twenty-four hours, seven days a week, and follows a uniform evidence protocol for conducting forensic medical examinations. The Renville County Sheriff's</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>Office has a signed agreement with the hospital to provide care for sexual assault victims transported from the jail. As outlined in policy #612, treatment services would be provided without financial cost to the victim.</p> <p>The facility has a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Safe Avenues located in Olivia, Minnesota, https://www.willmarshelter.com/locations, to provide advocacy services for victims of sexual abuse in the jail. The Executive Director confirmed advocacy services and emotional support services accompanying the victim through forensic medical examinations, during investigatory interviews, and court processes would be provided as requested by the victim.</p> <p>The Renville County Sheriff's Office conducts investigations into allegations of sexual abuse.</p> <p>The facility reports zero forensic medical examinations conducted in the past twelve months.</p> |
|--|--|

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.22 | Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>Facility policy #613 requires that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all sexual abuse and harassment allegations. The facility reports conducting one administrative and one criminal investigation in the past twelve months. The sheriff confirmed that every allegation of sexual abuse and sexual harassment is referred for investigation. The Agency uses trained, licensed investigators from the Sheriff's Office to conduct criminal and administrative investigations.</p> <p>Facility policy #613 requires allegations of sexual abuse and harassment to be referred for investigation to an Agency with legal authority to conduct criminal investigations.</p> <p>The Agency publishes the responsibilities of the Renville County Sheriff's Office in conducting criminal and administrative investigations on its website: https://www.renvillecountymn.gov/sheriff/divisions/jail/prea.php.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|--|
| 115.31 | Employee training |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>Facility policy #317 outlines the training topics all employees who may have contact with confined persons receive on preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment of confined persons. Training records were reviewed and confirmed every staff member has received training on the eleven topics. PREA training includes video training, a PREA test, and a policy review.</p> <p>The training is designed for the unique needs of the confined persons in the facility, including cross-gender supervision, interpersonal communication skills, and searching techniques. Renville County operates one facility that houses adult male and female confined persons and juveniles for up to twenty-four hours, including weekends and holidays.</p> <p>New employees receive initial PREA training during their initial orientation, including watching PREA videos and taking a test. After the initial training, staff complete annual training. Twelve staff were interviewed, and years of service ranged between five-plus years of service to a new employee on the job for two weeks. All twelve staff confirmed receiving training and understanding of their duties. Staff hired within the last twelve months reported receiving PREA training twice during orientation and the annual staff PREA training.</p> <p>Staff sign an acknowledgment of receipt and understanding of training.</p> <p>A recommendation for consistency in the annual PREA training in the future would be to identify and use a specific training curriculum.</p> |
|--|--|

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.32 | Volunteer and contractor training |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Policy #317 outlines the training requirements of this standard.</p> <p>The contracted medical provider, MEnD Correctional Care, provides its employees PREA training every two years on the eleven topics outlined in paragraph "a" in standard 115.31. Refresher information is supplied in years in which employees do not have refresher training. Refresher information includes annual policy reviews and articles relevant to the PREA standards.</p> <p>The contracted food service provider Summit Food Services trains employees every two years on the eleven topics outlined in paragraph "a" in standard 115.31. Summit provides quarterly staff training on topics related to food service and PREA. Food service employees do not have direct one-on-one contact with confined persons.</p> <p>Contracted employees must read and sign an acknowledgment that they understand their obligations to abide by the responsibilities outlined in the PREA standards.</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>Two contracted employees were interviewed and confirmed receiving training regarding their responsibilities under the PREA standards, steps to take, who to make a report to, and their obligation to report any information.</p> <p>The Community Reentry Specialist (CRS) facilitates volunteer training. The CRS schedules a face-to-face orientation session; during the session, the CRS advises the volunteer on the jail rules, regulations, and general expectations of volunteers and conducts PREA training. Volunteers are required to watch a video, complete a test, and sign an acknowledgment of receipt and understanding of PREA training.</p> <p>Volunteers and contractors are required to sign an acknowledgment of receiving and understanding PREA training. MEnD Correctional Care provided copies of its staff's acknowledgment of receiving and understanding PREA training.</p> |
|--|--|

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.33 | Inmate education |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>The facility reports that 674 confined persons were admitted in the past twelve months, and 196 had a length of stay that exceeded thirty days. Confined persons are informed of the facility's zero-tolerance policy and how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment during the initial intake process. The staff interviewed explained that the same procedure is followed for every intake, generally within twenty-four hours. Nine of the ten confined persons interviewed stated they received PREA information during the booking process and signed a PREA acknowledgment form. One confined person said they didn't receive any information; intake records were reviewed to confirm the person signed the PREA acknowledgment form.</p> <p>Confined persons are issued a texting device for calling, texting, video calling, or sending kites or grievances. Before accessing the device, the confined person must initially read and acknowledge the PREA notification. Confined persons must accept the PREA notification every thirty days. The texting device has a PREA video, and other self-help videos downloaded that confined persons can access anytime. Key information is also visible on posters in the facility.</p> <p>The facility contracts with the Language Line and has bi-lingual staff to accommodate limited English-proficient confined persons. Persons are given texting devices that offer English and Spanish language options. The PREA video on the texting device is available in Spanish. The facility uses a video relay service for deaf and hard of hearing confined persons; the PREA video on the texting device is available with closed captions. A staff member will give a verbal orientation to inmates with intellectual disabilities, blind, or limited reading skills.</p> <p>One confined person who met the criteria of limited English proficiency was successfully interviewed using an interpreter. The person confirmed their</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>understanding of the facility's zero-tolerance policy and how to report any allegations of sexual abuse. The person confirmed there were PREA posters in Spanish and a Spanish handbook and all the information on the texting device is available in Spanish.</p> |
|--|---|

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.34 | Specialized training: Investigations |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>Facility policy #612 requires all investigative staff to receive specialized investigation training. The facility has two trained, licensed investigators who have completed specialized training through the National Institute of Corrections online training platform. The training includes all the topics listed in paragraph (b) of this standard. The licensed investigators complete administrative and criminal investigations.</p> <p>The Agency maintains certificates of completion for employees who have completed specialized investigative training.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.35 | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>Facility policy #317 outlines the requirements for specialized training according to this standard. The contracted medical company provides PREA training outlined in paragraphs (a) and (d) of this standard to its employees. The medical staff interviewed confirmed receipt of training and understood their responsibilities for detecting, responding, and reporting sexual abuse and harassment.</p> <p>Forensic medical examinations are conducted at the Olivia Hospital.</p> <p>MEnD Correctional Care provided the Agency with signed acknowledgments of completed PREA training.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|--|
| 115.41 | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |

As outlined in policy #516, all confined persons are screened to determine the likelihood of being victimized or possibly victimizing another person. The screening is generally part of the screening process during the initial intake. Seven of the ten confined persons interviewed confirmed being screened during the initial intake. One confined person reported not being screened during the intake process, and two confined persons thought so but weren't sure. This auditor was given full access to the facility's jail management system and confirmed that every confined person was screened during intake. Two staff interviewed verified screenings were completed during intake.

The risk assessment includes this standard's requirements outlined in paragraph (d). The screening tool also considers prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses, and a history of previous institutional violence or sexual abuse as known to the Agency.

The facility is required by Minnesota Rules Chapter 2911 to have a written policy and procedure that provides for the classification of inmates based on several considerations. There are considerations outlined in 2911.2600 that overlap with the risk screening considerations outlined in this standard, which include:

1. potential risk of safety to others and self;
2. special needs assessment;
3. gender of inmate

Facilities must have criteria and procedures for determining and changing the status of an inmate. The general practice of this facility is to reclassify inmates within thirty days to meet the requirement of 2911.2600 and this standard to determine if a classification change is warranted. Two confined persons interviewed had been in custody for less than thirty days and hadn't been reclassified yet. Four persons interviewed had been in detention for over thirty and confirmed being reclassified. Four persons interviewed reported not being reclassified. Reclassification records were checked, and confined persons had been reclassified within thirty days. Reclassification would be completed due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information related to a confined person's risk of victimization or abusiveness. Staff interviewed stated the Jail Administrator is responsible for reclassifying a confined person. Staff would report any information to the Jail Administrator.

Facility policy #516 prohibits disciplining confined persons who do not cooperate or refuse to answer screening questions. Staff confirmed confined persons are not disciplined for refusing to answer or not cooperating with the screening.

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> |
| | <p>Auditor Discussion</p> |
| | <p>Facility policy #516 outlines the requirements of this standard.</p> <p>The facility uses the risk assessment and classification process to determine the placement of confined persons. The Jail Administrator and staff interviewed confirmed that the risk assessment, the facility's classification system, and conversations with the person determine housing assignments and separate vulnerable persons from likely abusers.</p> <p>The Jail Administrator confirmed that a transgender or intersex confined person's housing and programming assignments would be determined case-by-case, considering the confined person's safety and if the placement would present any management or security issues. A transgender or intersex person's placement and programming would be reassessed monthly. The Jail Administrator confirmed reassessments would be completed every thirty days. A review of records indicates the facility has not housed a transgender or intersex person for a period exceeding twelve months.</p> <p>The Jail Administrator and staff interviewed verified that each transgender or intersex confined person's views concerning safety would be seriously considered during the classification process. The facility has private showers available throughout the jail.</p> <p>The facility is not subject to a consent decree. The Jail Administrator said that placement of transgender or intersex is determined on a case-by-case basis.</p> <p>On the dates of the onsite audit, there were not any confined persons who identified as transgender or intersex. The review of facility records determined the facility had not housed a person who identified as transgender or intersex twelve months before the audit. The staff interviewed said that the Jail Administrator would be responsible for working with a transgender or intersex person to determine housing and program assignments.</p> |

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| <p>115.43</p> | <p>Protective Custody</p> |
| | <p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> |
| | <p>Auditor Discussion</p> |
| | <p>The facility reports in the past twelve months; two confined persons have been placed in involuntary protective custody (determined to be a typing error) for one to twenty-four hours, awaiting completion of an assessment. During the interview with the Jail Administrator, he confirmed that they do not place confined persons in</p> |

segregated housing. The facility reports zero confined persons placed in involuntary protective custody for over thirty days in the past twelve months.

As outlined in policy #612, confined persons at risk for victimization will not be housed in involuntary segregated housing unless alternative housing is not available. The Jail Administrator confirmed the facility uses the least restrictive means to accommodate a confined person at high risk of sexual victimization.

Confined persons in involuntary segregated housing would have access to programs, privileges, education, and work opportunities. The Minnesota Department of Corrections 2911 administrative rules governing jails (2911.2800) require a facility to have a written policy and procedure that whenever a confined person in administrative segregation is deprived of any usually authorized item or activity, a report of the action is made, and forwarded to the facility administrator or designee. The Jail Administrator confirmed that confined persons would have access to programs, privileges, education, and work opportunities to the extent possible, and a special report would be completed.

The Jail Administrator confirmed a person would be placed in involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation of likely abusers can be arranged. The Jail Administrator verified the facility had not put anyone in segregated housing for being at a high risk of sexual victimization.

The Minnesota Department of Corrections 2911.2800 rules for licensure require a confined person placed in involuntary segregated housing to be reassessed every seven days. The Jail Administrator stated a review would be completed every three days. Policy #612 does state a review would be completed every 30 days.

| 115.51 | Inmate reporting |
|--------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion The facility provides multiple internal ways to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment, retaliation, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident. The reporting methods include verbal, in writing (kiosk and texting devices), anonymous, and from third parties. Twelve confined persons were interviewed. Three confined persons would tell a staff member and two confined persons would tell a staff member or report in writing via the kiosk or their texting device. Four confined persons would report in writing via the kiosk or texting device, and one confined person would report in writing via their texting device or call the "800" number. The twelve staff interviewed would accept reports of sexual abuse or harassment verbally, in writing, anonymously, or from third parties. The staff would document a verbal report as soon as possible, as outlined in facility policy #612. The inmate handbook explains how a person detained solely for immigration purposes can contact their consulate. |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>The facility has a signed Memorandum of Understanding with Safe Avenues in Olivia, Minnesota https://www.willarshelter.com/locations as an agency outside the facility to accept anonymous reports and transmit the information to the facility. The facility holds confined persons for the Federal Bureau of Prisons; posters displaying a telephone number to report allegations of sexual abuse are posted throughout the facility. Contact information for these agencies is listed in the inmate handbook and posted throughout the facility. The telephone numbers are programmed into the telephone system as a free call. The ten confined persons interviewed knew telephone numbers were available and listed the inmate handbook and posters in the housing unit if they needed to make a call. A limited English proficient confined person was interviewed using an interpreter who confirmed information, and telephone numbers were listed in Spanish if they needed to make a call.</p> <p>The ten staff interviewed understood their responsibilities outlined in facility policy #612 to accept every report of sexual abuse and harassment. Staff would immediately report the information to the Jail Administrator. Staff would privately report information to the Jail Administrator.</p> |
|--|--|

| | |
|---------------|--|
| 115.52 | Exhaustion of administrative remedies |
| | <p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>The facility reports that there have been zero grievances or emergency grievances filed alleging sexual abuse or harassment in the past twelve months.</p> <p>Facility policy #620 ensures there is no time limit on when a confined person can submit a grievance alleging sexual abuse and sexual harassment. A confined person alleging sexual abuse and sexual harassment does not have to use an informal grievance process or attempt to resolve with staff an alleged incident of sexual abuse. The inmate handbook outlines the grievance process and explains the exceptions for making an allegation of sexual abuse or harassment, including there is no time limit on when a confined person can file a grievance related to a PREA allegation.</p> <p>Facility policy #620 allows for disciplinary action against the confined person only when it is determined the grievance was filed in bad faith. Confined persons are advised of this policy in the inmate handbook.</p> <p>Facility policy #620 ensures a confined person who alleges sexual abuse or harassment may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint. Confined persons are advised of this policy in the inmate handbook.</p> <p>Facility policy #620 states a final decision on formal grievances submitted by a confined person alleging sexual abuse will be provided within ninety days and may</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>use an extension of up to seventy days to issue a final decision if the standard time period is insufficient to make a final decision. In the inmate handbook, confined persons are advised that all responses to grievances will be in writing and returned within five business days beginning the day following the receipt of the grievance, excluding weekends and holidays.</p> <p>The facility allows confined persons to have assistance filing a grievance related to sexual abuse and harassment from third parties, fellow confined persons, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates. If a third party files an allegation on behalf of a confined person, the alleged victim has to agree to have the alleged allegation processed, and the facility will document the person's decision.</p> <p>Emergency grievances from confined persons who believe they or any other confined person are subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse may be filed with any supervisor and receive an initial response within forty-eight hours and a final decision within five calendar days.</p> |
|--|---|

| | |
|---------------|--|
| 115.53 | Inmate access to outside confidential support services |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>To provide confidential, emotional support services, the facility has a signed Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Safe Avenues in Willmar, Minnesota https://www.willmarshelter.com/advocacy. Contact information is outlined in the inmate handbook and posters in the facility. The limits of the confined person's privacy are outlined in the inmate handbook. The privacy notification states, "There is no exception of privacy when using your iPod for calling, texting, video calling, or sending kites or grievances. Attorney calls, medical kites sent to medical, and PREA issues are private."</p> <p>All the confined persons interviewed knew there were services available. During each interview, the person was asked if they read the inmate handbook or noticed any information posted in the facility. There was a variety of answers from didn't pay attention, didn't read the inmate handbook, knows there is information in the handbook, and one person interviewed did know Safe Avenues was listed in the inmate handbook.</p> <p>The Agency maintains a copy of the MOU with Safe Avenues.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.54 | Third-party reporting |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The facility has established a method for receiving third-party reports of sexual abuse and harassment of confined persons. Information on how to report is posted on the Agency's website: https://www.renvillecountymn.gov/sheriff/divisions/jail/prea.php . |

| | |
|---------------|--|
| 115.61 | Staff and agency reporting duties |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>Facility policy #612 outlines the procedures and expectations that all staff immediately report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or, sexual harassment, retaliation, or any staff neglect that may have contributed to an incident. The twelve staff interviewed were trained on their reporting responsibilities and would immediately report any information to the Jail Administrator or Assistant Jail Administrator as outlined in the policy.</p> <p>Medical staff interviewed would report sexual abuse and harassment according to paragraph (a) of this standard. Medical staff are mandated reporters and explain their limits of confidentiality before initiating treatment.</p> <p>If the alleged victim is under eighteen or a vulnerable adult under State or local vulnerable person statutes, the Jail Administrator confirmed the allegations would be reported to the appropriate law enforcement and human service agencies.</p> <p>The Jail Administrator was clear that all allegations of sexual abuse and harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, are reported to the licensed investigator.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.62 | Agency protection duties |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | In the past twelve months, the facility reported that there have been zero instances where the facility determined a confined person was subject to a substantial risk of |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>imminent sexual abuse.</p> <p>The Sheriff, Jail Administrator, and staff interviewed all said the safety of the confined persons is a priority, and immediate action would be taken to keep the confined person safe.</p> |
|--|--|

| | |
|---------------|--|
| 115.63 | Reporting to other confinement facilities |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>The facility reports zero allegations of sexual abuse from another confinement facility and zero allegations of sexual abuse the facility has received that a confined person was abused while confined at another facility.</p> <p>Facility policy #612 outlines the reporting requirements of this standard. The Jail Administrator verified that he would contact the facility the confined person reported being sexually abused or harassed within seventy-two hours, and the notification would be documented.</p> <p>The Sheriff and Jail Administrator confirmed that any allegation received from another agency that a confined person reported they were sexually abused in Renville County would be referred to an investigator.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.64 | Staff first responder duties |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>The facility reported zero allegations of inmate sexual abuse in the past twelve months.</p> <p>Facility policy #612 outlines the responsibilities of staff who are first to respond to an allegation of sexual abuse. The policy includes the required elements of this standard. The twelve staff interviewed were able to explain their responsibilities if they were the first person to respond to an incident of sexual abuse.</p> <p>If the first responder is not a security staff member, facility policy #612 requires the responder to request that the victim not take any action that could destroy evidence and immediately notify security staff. If medical staff are the first to respond, they will contact the Jail Administrator or Assistant Jail Administrator.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|--|
| 115.65 | Coordinated response |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The Jail Administrator provided a copy of a comprehensive response plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health staff, investigators, and Sheriff's Office leadership. The twelve staff interviewed explained the steps they would take as a first responder, including protecting the alleged victim, removing the alleged abuser, securing the scene, and contacting a supervisor. |

| | |
|---------------|--|
| 115.66 | Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The Sheriff confirmed that all union contracts that have been renewed include verbiage that the Sheriff maintains managerial rights to place an employee on paid administrative leave pending the outcome of an investigation. |

| | |
|---------------|--|
| 115.67 | Agency protection against retaliation |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>The facility reports zero incidents of retaliation reported, known, or suspected in the past twelve months. Facility policy #612.5 outlines the policy requirements of this standard.</p> <p>The Jail Administrator is the designated person responsible for monitoring retaliation. The facility has not encountered the need to monitor for retaliation. The Jail Administrator described the actions he would take to protect a confined person or staff from retaliation. Staff monitoring would include reviewing post assignments, staff schedules, and interaction between staff members on the shift. Monitoring of a confined person would consist of removing the abuser, reassigning staff to a different post, reviewing discipline reports, and any changes to housing assignments. The Jail Administrator would meet with the confined person weekly for a minimum of ninety days and extend if necessary.</p> <p>The Sheriff and Jail Administrator were clear that retaliation would not be tolerated and action up to and including termination of staff would be taken. A confined person found to have retaliated would be held accountable through the facility's</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| | administrative discipline process. If the retaliation reached criminal actions, the confined person would be charged. |
|--|---|

| | |
|---------------|--|
| 115.68 | Post-allegation protective custody |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>The facility reports in the past twelve months; two confined persons have been placed in involuntary protective custody (determined to be a typing error) for one to twenty-four hours, awaiting completion of an assessment. During the interview with the Jail Administrator, he confirmed that they do not place confined persons in segregated housing. The facility reports zero confined persons placed in involuntary protective custody for over thirty days in the past twelve months.</p> <p>As outlined in policy #612, confined persons at risk for victimization will not be housed in involuntary segregated housing unless alternative housing is not available. The Jail Administrator confirmed the facility uses the least restrictive means to accommodate a confined person at high risk of sexual victimization.</p> <p>Confined persons in involuntary segregated housing would have access to programs, privileges, education, and work opportunities. The Minnesota Department of Corrections 2911 administrative rules governing jails (2911.2800) require a facility to have a written policy and procedure that whenever a confined person in administrative segregation is deprived of any usually authorized item or activity, a report of the action is made, and forwarded to the facility administrator or designee. The Jail Administrator confirmed that confined persons would have access to programs, privileges, education, and work opportunities to the extent possible, and a special report would be completed.</p> <p>The Jail Administrator confirmed a person would be placed in involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation of likely abusers can be arranged. The Jail Administrator verified the facility had not put anyone in segregated housing for being at a high risk of sexual victimization.</p> <p>The Minnesota Department of Corrections 2911.2800 rules for licensure require a confined person placed in involuntary segregated housing to be reassessed every seven days. The Jail Administrator stated a review would be completed every three days. Policy #612 does state a review would be completed every 30 days.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|--|
| 115.71 | Criminal and administrative agency investigations |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>Facility policy #612 states an administrative investigation, criminal investigation or both shall be completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The Sheriff and Jail Administrator confirmed an investigation would immediately be initiated and investigated the same as any criminal investigation. A substantiated allegation that appears to be criminal is referred to the County Attorney's Office for prosecution.</p> <p>The facility has one trained licensed investigator who conducts administrative and criminal investigations. The investigator received specialized training outlined in standard 115.34. The Sheriff explained the Agency's investigative process, from initiating the investigation, collecting evidence, interviewing, completing the final report, and determining the final disposition of the allegation.</p> <p>Minnesota State Statute 611A.26.S.1 prohibits polygraph examinations on victims of sexual abuse as part of the condition for proceeding with the investigation, charging, or prosecution of such offenses. The Sheriff confirmed that the totality of the circumstances would determine the credibility of the victim, perpetrator, and witnesses.</p> <p>Criminal and administrative investigations are documented and contain the evidence collected, statements, and any additional information pertinent to the investigation. Administrative investigations include assessing whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse.</p> <p>Facility policy #612 outlines the Agency's records retention for written reports from administrative and criminal investigations. The retention requirement is as long as the alleged abuser is held or employed by the Agency, plus five years.</p> <p>The Sheriff and Jail Administrator confirmed that an investigation would continue even if the staff member were no longer with the Agency.</p> <p>The Renville County Sheriff's Office conducts criminal investigations. The primary contact would be the Jail Administrator if another Agency were asked to complete the investigation.</p> |
|--|--|

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.72 | Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | Facility policy #612 states the Jail Administrator or Sheriff shall review the investigation and determine whether a preponderance of the evidence has substantiated any allegation of sexual abuse or harassment which was confirmed by the Sheriff. |

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
|--|--|

| | |
|---------------|--|
| 115.73 | Reporting to inmates |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>Facility policy #612 requires the Jail Administrator or authorized designee to inform a victim inmate in writing whether an allegation has been substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. In the past twelve months, one confined person has been notified in writing and in person of the final disposition of an investigation.</p> <p>The Renville County Sheriff's Office conducts the investigations. Facility policy #612 outlines the information that would be provided to the confined person on the status of the accused staff member (as detailed in this standard.)</p> <p>Facility policy #613 outlines the information that would be provided to the confined person on the status of the alleged abuser if another confined person is accused (as detailed in this standard.)</p> <p>Facility policy #613 requires all notifications or attempted notifications to be documented. Documentation of the notification was reviewed.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.76 | Disciplinary sanctions for staff |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>In the past twelve months, the facility reports zero staff members being disciplined, terminated, or resigned for violating Agency sexual abuse or harassment policies.</p> <p>Facility policy #612 outlines that staff shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination of employment for violating sexual abuse or harassment policies.</p> <p>Facility policy #612 outlines the progressive discipline of a staff member for violations of policies related to sexual abuse or harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse.)</p> <p>All staff terminations for violations of sexual abuse or harassment policies or resignations by staff that would have been terminated if not for their resignation will be reported to the law enforcement agency and relevant licensing bodies unless the</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>activity was not criminal.</p> <p>The jail administrator made it clear that if a staff member violates the agency's sexual abuse or harassment policies, the requirements of this standard would be followed.</p> |
|--|--|

| 115.77 | Corrective action for contractors and volunteers |
|---------------|---|
| | <p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> |
| | <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>In the past twelve months, the facility reports zero contractors or volunteers reported to law enforcement or relevant licensing bodies for engaging in the sexual abuse of confined persons. Volunteers have not been in the building since March 2020.</p> <p>Facility policy #612 prohibits any contractor or facilitator who engages in sexual abuse will be prohibited from contact with confined persons and reporting to law enforcement agencies and relevant licensing bodies unless the activity is not criminal.</p> <p>The jail administrator made it clear that a contractor or volunteer would be prohibited from contact with confined persons until the completion of the investigation. Remedial measures would be determined case by case based on the nature of the violation.</p> |

| 115.78 | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates |
|---------------|--|
| | <p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> |
| | <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>In the past twelve months, the facility reported zero administrative and zero criminal findings of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse.</p> <p>The facility has a formalized discipline plan outlined in policy #600 and the inmate handbook. The discipline plan includes due process and a fair hearing conducted by an impartial person.</p> <p>Disciplinary decisions are based on the nature and circumstances of the rule violated, the confined person's discipline history, and other confined person's sanctions imposed for comparable offenses. The Jail Administrator verified the hearing officer considers whether a mental disability contributed to the conduct when determining sanctions.</p> <p>The facility does not offer therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for abuse.</p> <p>As outlined in facility policy #600, confined persons are not disciplined for sexual contact with a staff member unless there is a finding that the staff member did not consent to the contact.</p> <p>The facility prohibits sexual activity between confined persons as outlined in facility policy #600 and the inmate handbook. There would be an investigation into the incident, and following the investigation, the facility would consider the activity sexual abuse if the facility determines the activity was coerced.</p> <p>The facility has not had an incident of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse. The Jail Administrator stated the policy outlined would be followed to discipline a confined person.</p> |
|--|---|

| | |
|---------------|--|
| 115.81 | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>Staff responsible for risk screening confirmed confined persons who disclose any prior sexual victimization during the risk screening are offered follow-up meetings with the medical practitioner. Every confined person is seen by medical for an initial screening within fourteen days. The facility contracts with MEnD Correctional Care; MEnD staff ask about previous sexual victimization during the initial risk screening; if a confined person discloses sexual victimization, the nurse is required to complete a Follow-up Screening Form (a decision tree to determine reporting requirements.)</p> <p>Information related to sexual victimization in an institutional setting is limited to medical and mental health staff and other jail staff as necessary for security or management decisions. Medical staff would report information related to the sexual victimization of a confined person to the Jail Administrator or Assistant Jail Administrator.</p> <p>As outlined in policy #716 and interviews with medical staff, confined persons are advised of the medical provider's limits to confidentiality as mandatory reporters.</p> <p>The medical practitioner did not have any examples of a confined person who disclosed sexual victimization.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.82 | Access to emergency medical and mental health services |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Victims of sexual abuse will be transported to the Olivia Hospital in Olivia, Minnesota, for timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment, evidence collection, and crisis intervention services. The nature and scope of services will be determined by the professional judgment of the medical staff performing the forensic medical examination.</p> <p>Facility policy #612 outlines the duties of the first responders. The staff interviewed explained they would ensure the alleged victim was safe, notify the Jail Administrator or Assistant Jail Administrator, and contact medical staff if they are not in the building.</p> <p>Confined persons would be offered timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted prophylaxis as part of the forensic medical examination. Medical staff said they would be responsible for any follow-up with the confined person to provide ongoing medical and mental health care.</p> <p>Facility policy #612 states ongoing treatment services shall be provided without financial cost to the victim regardless of whether they cooperate with an investigation into the incident.</p> |
|--|---|

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| <p>115.83</p> | <p>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</p> |
| | <p>Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard</p> <p>Auditor Discussion</p> <p>Renville County contracts with MEnD Correctional Care to provide medical services in the facility. The MEnD contract includes a medical provider and RN nursing services. The facility medical director determines the nature and scope of medical services.</p> <p>Medical staff interviewed confirmed a confined person who discloses prior victimization is offered a follow-up meeting with the medical provider or mental health provider.</p> <p>Medical staff explained that follow-up services, treatment plans, and referrals to specialty appointments would be scheduled as appropriate for the confined person. Information for continued care would be sent with a confined person leaving the facility.</p> <p>Community level of care is provided for all confined persons. Confined persons would be treated at the local hospital, and medical and mental health services in the facility.</p> <p>Medical staff confirmed pregnancy tests would be offered to female victims of sexual abuse.</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>If pregnancy results from sexual abuse, medical staff will provide information about all legal pregnancy-related medical services.</p> <p>Medical staff verified that victims of sexual abuse would be offered testing, treatment, and information for sexually transmitted infections.</p> <p>Policy #612 states treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost.</p> <p>Renville County operates a county jail.</p> |
|--|--|

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.86 | Sexual abuse incident reviews |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>The facility reports zero administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations completed in the past twelve months. Facility policy #612 outlines the requirements of this standard. The Jail Administrator confirmed that the incident review will ordinarily occur within thirty days of the conclusion of the investigation unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. The incident review team includes the Chief Deputy, investigator, Jail Administrator, Sergeant, and facility nurse. The review team would consider items (1)-(6) outlined in paragraph (d) of this standard. Any recommendations for improvement would be implemented, or the reason for not implementing them would be documented. The Agency has not had any substantiated or unfounded allegations of sexual abuse in its facility. The facility has a Sexual Assault Incident Review Packet that will be used to document information during the review.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.87 | Data collection |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>The Agency collects accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse and sexual harassment using a standardized instrument and with a set of definitions. Sexual abuse data is reviewed at least annually and includes the data necessary to answer all the questions on the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice.</p> <p>The Agency does not contract for the confinement of its confined persons.</p> <p>The Department of Justice did not request 2022 agency data.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|--|
| 115.88 | Data review for corrective action |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>The Jail Administrator reviews sexual abuse data annually for ongoing improvement and any corrective action that should be implemented. The Agency prepares an annual report approved by the Sheriff comparing the current year's and previous years' data. The facility redacts all personal information from the report and includes the nature of the information redacted.</p> <p>The annual report is published on the Agency website: https://www.renvillecountymn.gov/sheriff/divisions/jail/prea.php.</p> |

| | |
|---------------|---|
| 115.89 | Data storage, publication, and destruction |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>The Jail Administrator securely maintains incident-based data electronically on a secured drive with access limited to the Sheriff and Jail Administrator.</p> <p>The Agency makes all aggregated sexual abuse data available on its website: https://www.renvillecountymn.gov/sheriff/divisions/jail/prea.php. All personal identifiers are removed before making the information public.</p> <p>Facility policy #612 requires sexual abuse data to be maintained for at least ten years after the initial collection date unless prohibited by Federal, State, or local law. A random document review was conducted.</p> |

| | |
|----------------|---|
| 115.401 | Frequency and scope of audits |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>The Agency operates one facility. This is the Renville County Jail's third PREA Audit. The facility was last audited in 2019 and found to be compliant.</p> <p>The PREA Coordinator completed a thorough pre-audit questionnaire and uploaded the documents. The PREA Coordinator provided additional documents on the first day of the onsite audit. Other documents were provided upon request.</p> <p>I was given full access to the facility. I was given a private office outside the jail to</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>work and interview staff. Interviews of confined persons' were conducted in a private conference room inside the jail. I talked with staff and confined persons informally during the onsite audit.</p> <p>The PREA audit notice posters in English and Spanish were posted six weeks before the audit throughout the facility. The notices showed the auditor's contact information to confined persons, staff, and visitors. The Jail Administrator confirmed the audit notices were posted via email, and confined persons interviewed confirmed the audit notices were posted before the audit. This auditor did not receive any confidential correspondence throughout the audit process.</p> <p>The agency has updated its email system. The new email for the Jail Administrator is Ned.Wohlman@renvillecountymn.gov.</p> |
|--|---|

| | |
|----------------|--|
| 115.403 | Audit contents and findings |
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | <p>The Agency's final PREA audit reports, dated 2016 and 2019, are published on its website. The contract agreement requires the Agency to post a copy of the final audit report within ninety days of receipt. The Agency will receive an email reminder to post the final audit report within ninety days after receipt.</p> |

| Appendix: Provision Findings | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-----|
| 115.11 (a) | Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator | |
| | Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| 115.11 (b) | Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator | |
| | Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? | yes |
| | Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? | yes |
| | Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities? | yes |
| 115.11 (c) | Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator | |
| | If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) | na |
| | Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) | na |
| 115.12 (a) | Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates | |
| | If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.) | na |
| 115.12 (b) | Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates | |
| | Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure | na |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| | that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.) | |
| 115.13 (a) | Supervision and monitoring | |
| | Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| | consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards? | |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse? | yes |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors? | yes |
| 115.13 (b) | Supervision and monitoring | |
| | In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) | na |
| 115.13 (c) | Supervision and monitoring | |
| | In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? | yes |
| | In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? | yes |
| | In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan? | yes |
| 115.13 (d) | Supervision and monitoring | |
| | Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? | yes |
| | Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility? | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| 115.14 (a) | Youthful inmates | |
| | Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | yes |
| 115.14 (b) | Youthful inmates | |
| | In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | yes |
| | In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | yes |
| 115.14 (c) | Youthful inmates | |
| | Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | yes |
| | Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | yes |
| | Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).) | yes |
| 115.15 (a) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners? | yes |
| 115.15 (b) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) | yes |
| | Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| | facility does not have female inmates.) | |
| 115.15 (c) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? | yes |
| | Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates)? | yes |
| 115.15 (d) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? | yes |
| | Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? | yes |
| | Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit? | yes |
| 115.15 (e) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status? | yes |
| | If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? | yes |
| 115.15 (f) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? | yes |
| | Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? | yes |

| 115.16 (a) | Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient | |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.) | yes |
| | Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? | yes |
| | Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| | with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities? | |
| | Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills? | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: are blind or have low vision? | yes |
| 115.16 (b) | Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient | |
| | Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient? | yes |
| | Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? | yes |
| 115.16 (c) | Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient | |
| | Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations? | yes |
| 115.17 (a) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| | may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above? | |
| | Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above? | yes |
| 115.17 (b) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates? | yes |
| | Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? | yes |
| 115.17 (c) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check? | yes |
| | Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.17 (d) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| 115.17 (e) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? | yes |
| 115.17 (f) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? | yes |
| | Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? | yes |
| | Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? | yes |
| 115.17 (g) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? | yes |
| 115.17 (h) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) | yes |
| 115.18 (a) | Upgrades to facilities and technologies | |
| | If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) | na |
| 115.18 (b) | Upgrades to facilities and technologies | |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| | If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) | yes |
| 115.21 (a) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | yes |
| 115.21 (b) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | yes |
| | Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | yes |
| 115.21 (c) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? | yes |
| | Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? | yes |
| | If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| | Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? | yes |
| 115.21 (d) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? | yes |
| | If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) | na |
| | Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? | yes |
| 115.21 (e) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? | yes |
| | As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? | yes |
| 115.21 (f) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | na |
| 115.21 (h) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) | na |
| 115.22 (a) | Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations | |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? | yes |
| 115.22 (b) | Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations | |
| | Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? | yes |
| | Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? | yes |
| | Does the agency document all such referrals? | yes |
| 115.22 (c) | Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations | |
| | If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).) | na |
| 115.31 (a) | Employee training | |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? | yes |
| 115.31 (b) | Employee training | |
| | Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility? | yes |
| | Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa? | yes |
| 115.31 (c) | Employee training | |
| | Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? | yes |
| | In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? | yes |
| 115.31 (d) | Employee training | |
| | Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received? | yes |
| 115.32 (a) | Volunteer and contractor training | |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| | Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? | yes |
| 115.32 (b) | Volunteer and contractor training | |
| | Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)? | yes |
| 115.32 (c) | Volunteer and contractor training | |
| | Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? | yes |
| 115.33 (a) | Inmate education | |
| | During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? | yes |
| 115.33 (b) | Inmate education | |
| | Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? | yes |
| | Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? | yes |
| 115.33 (c) | Inmate education | |
| | Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)? | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| | Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility? | yes |
| 115.33 (d) | Inmate education | |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills? | yes |
| 115.33 (e) | Inmate education | |
| | Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions? | yes |
| 115.33 (f) | Inmate education | |
| | In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? | yes |
| 115.34 (a) | Specialized training: Investigations | |
| | In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| 115.34 (b) | Specialized training: Investigations | |
| | Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| | Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| | Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | |
| | Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| | Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| 115.34 (c) | Specialized training: Investigations | |
| | Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| 115.35 (a) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | |
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| | suspicious of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | |
| 115.35 (b) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | |
| | If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.) | na |
| 115.35 (c) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | |
| | Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | yes |
| 115.35 (d) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | |
| | Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.) | yes |
| | Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.) | yes |
| 115.41 (a) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? | yes |
| | Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? | yes |
| 115.41 (b) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility? | yes |
| 115.41 (c) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| | screening instrument? | |
| 115.41 (d) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| | Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes? | |
| 115.41 (e) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse? | yes |
| | In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses? | yes |
| | In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.41 (f) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? | yes |
| 115.41 (g) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral? | yes |
| | Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request? | yes |
| | Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? | yes |
| 115.41 (h) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? | yes |
| 115.41 (i) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive | yes |

| | | |
|--|--|-----|
| | information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? | |
| 115.42 (a) Use of screening information | | |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? | yes |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? | yes |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? | yes |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? | yes |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? | yes |
| 115.42 (b) Use of screening information | | |
| | Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate? | yes |
| 115.42 (c) Use of screening information | | |
| | When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? | yes |
| | When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| | present management or security problems? | |
| 115.42 (d) | Use of screening information | |
| | Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate? | yes |
| 115.42 (e) | Use of screening information | |
| | Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? | yes |
| 115.42 (f) | Use of screening information | |
| | Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates? | yes |
| 115.42 (g) | Use of screening information | |
| | Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) | yes |
| | Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) | yes |
| | Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| | solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) | |
| 115.43 (a) | Protective Custody | |
| | Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers? | yes |
| | If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment? | yes |
| 115.43 (b) | Protective Custody | |
| | Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible? | yes |
| | Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible? | yes |
| | Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible? | yes |
| | Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible? | yes |
| | If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) | yes |
| | If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) | yes |
| | If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) | yes |
| 115.43 (c) | Protective Custody | |

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----|
| | Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged? | yes |
| | Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days? | yes |
| 115.43 (d) Protective Custody | | |
| | If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety? | yes |
| | If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged? | yes |
| 115.43 (e) Protective Custody | | |
| | In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS? | yes |
| 115.51 (a) Inmate reporting | | |
| | Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? | yes |
| 115.51 (b) Inmate reporting | | |
| | Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? | yes |
| | Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? | yes |
| | Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| | anonymous upon request? | |
| | Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility never houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes.) | yes |
| 115.51 (c) | Inmate reporting | |
| | Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? | yes |
| | Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| 115.51 (d) | Inmate reporting | |
| | Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates? | yes |
| 115.52 (a) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. | no |
| 115.52 (b) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.52 (c) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| | this standard.) | |
| | Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.52 (d) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.52 (e) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.52 (f) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| | Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.52 (g) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.53 (a) | Inmate access to outside confidential support services | |
| | Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? | yes |
| | Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| | including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility never has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.) | |
| | Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? | yes |
| 115.53 (b) | Inmate access to outside confidential support services | |
| | Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? | yes |
| 115.53 (c) | Inmate access to outside confidential support services | |
| | Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? | yes |
| 115.54 (a) | Third-party reporting | |
| | Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate? | yes |
| 115.61 (a) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? | yes |
| | Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| | abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? | |
| 115.61 (b) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? | yes |
| 115.61 (c) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? | yes |
| | Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? | yes |
| 115.61 (d) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? | yes |
| 115.61 (e) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? | yes |
| 115.62 (a) | Agency protection duties | |
| | When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate? | yes |
| 115.63 (a) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? | yes |
| 115.63 (b) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation? | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| 115.63 (c) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? | yes |
| 115.63 (d) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? | yes |
| 115.64 (a) | Staff first responder duties | |
| | Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser? | yes |
| | Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? | yes |
| | Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? | yes |
| | Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? | yes |
| 115.64 (b) | Staff first responder duties | |
| | If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? | yes |
| 115.65 (a) | Coordinated response | |
| | Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| | response to an incident of sexual abuse? | |
| 115.66 (a) | Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers | |
| | Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? | yes |
| 115.67 (a) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff? | yes |
| | Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? | yes |
| 115.67 (b) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? | yes |
| 115.67 (c) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| | sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? | |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff? | yes |
| | Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? | yes |
| 115.67 (d) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks? | yes |
| 115.67 (e) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation? | yes |
| 115.68 (a) | Post-allegation protective custody | |
| | Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43? | yes |
| 115.71 (a) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| | of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | |
| | Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| 115.71 (b) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34? | yes |
| 115.71 (c) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? | yes |
| | Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? | yes |
| | Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? | yes |
| 115.71 (d) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? | yes |
| 115.71 (e) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff? | yes |
| | Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? | yes |
| 115.71 (f) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| | Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? | yes |
| 115.71 (g) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? | yes |
| 115.71 (h) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? | yes |
| 115.71 (i) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? | yes |
| 115.71 (j) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation? | yes |
| 115.71 (l) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) | yes |
| 115.72 (a) | Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations | |
| | Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? | yes |
| 115.73 (a) | Reporting to inmates | |
| | Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| 115.73 (b) | Reporting to inmates | |
| | If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) | na |
| 115.73 (c) | Reporting to inmates | |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit? | yes |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? | yes |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? | yes |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? | yes |
| 115.73 (d) | Reporting to inmates | |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? | yes |
| | Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| | abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? | |
| 115.73 (e) | Reporting to inmates | |
| | Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? | yes |
| 115.76 (a) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
| | Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? | yes |
| 115.76 (b) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
| | Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.76 (c) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
| | Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? | yes |
| 115.76 (d) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
| | Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? | yes |
| | Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? | yes |
| 115.77 (a) | Corrective action for contractors and volunteers | |
| | Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates? | yes |
| | Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| | Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? | yes |
| 115.77 (b) | Corrective action for contractors and volunteers | |
| | In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates? | yes |
| 115.78 (a) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? | yes |
| 115.78 (b) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories? | yes |
| 115.78 (c) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? | yes |
| 115.78 (d) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? | no |
| 115.78 (e) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? | yes |
| 115.78 (f) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| | evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? | |
| 115.78 (g) | Disciplinary sanctions for inmates | |
| | If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.) | yes |
| 115.81 (a) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse | |
| | If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison). | na |
| 115.81 (b) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse | |
| | If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.) | na |
| 115.81 (c) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse | |
| | If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a jail). | yes |
| 115.81 (d) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse | |
| | Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law? | yes |
| 115.81 (e) | Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse | |
| | Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| | sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18? | |
| 115.82 (a) | Access to emergency medical and mental health services | |
| | Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment? | yes |
| 115.82 (b) | Access to emergency medical and mental health services | |
| | If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62? | yes |
| | Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners? | yes |
| 115.82 (c) | Access to emergency medical and mental health services | |
| | Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? | yes |
| 115.82 (d) | Access to emergency medical and mental health services | |
| | Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? | yes |
| 115.83 (a) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? | yes |
| 115.83 (b) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? | yes |
| 115.83 (c) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse | |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| | victims and abusers | |
| | Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? | yes |
| 115.83 (d) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.) | yes |
| 115.83 (e) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.) | yes |
| 115.83 (f) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate? | yes |
| 115.83 (g) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? | yes |
| 115.83 (h) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.) | na |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| 115.86 (a) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? | yes |
| 115.86 (b) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? | yes |
| 115.86 (c) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? | yes |
| 115.86 (d) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager? | yes |
| 115.86 (e) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? | yes |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| 115.87 (a) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? | yes |
| 115.87 (b) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually? | yes |
| 115.87 (c) | Data collection | |
| | Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice? | yes |
| 115.87 (d) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? | yes |
| 115.87 (e) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.) | na |
| 115.87 (f) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) | na |
| 115.88 (a) | Data review for corrective action | |
| | Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? | yes |
| | Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? | yes |
| | Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant | yes |

| | | |
|--------------------|--|-----|
| | to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? | |
| 115.88 (b) | Data review for corrective action | |
| | Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.88 (c) | Data review for corrective action | |
| | Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? | yes |
| 115.88 (d) | Data review for corrective action | |
| | Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? | yes |
| 115.89 (a) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | |
| | Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained? | yes |
| 115.89 (b) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | |
| | Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? | yes |
| 115.89 (c) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | |
| | Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? | yes |
| 115.89 (d) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | |
| | Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? | yes |
| 115.401 (a) | Frequency and scope of audits | |

| | | |
|--------------------|--|-----|
| | During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.) | yes |
| 115.401 (b) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.) | yes |
| | If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.) | na |
| | If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.) | na |
| 115.401 (h) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility? | yes |
| 115.401 (i) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? | yes |
| 115.401 (m) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees? | yes |
| 115.401 (n) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel? | yes |
| 115.403 | Audit contents and findings | |

| (f) | | |
|------------|---|-----|
| | The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) | yes |